arXiv:math/0701614v2 [math.NT] 1 Mar 2007

RELATIVE BRAUER GROUPS OF GENUS 1 CURVES

MIRELA CIPERIANI AND DANIEL KRASHEN

Abstract. In this paper we develop techniques for computing the relative Brauer group of genus 1 curves. We use these techniques to show that the relative Brauer group may be infinite (for certain ground fields) as well as to determine this group explicitly for certain curves defined over the rational numbers. To connect to previous descriptions of relative Brauer groups in the literature, we describe a family of genus 1 curves, which we call "cyclic type" for which the relative Brauer group can be shown to have a particularly nice description. In order to do this, we discuss a number of formulations of the pairing between the points on an elliptic curve and its Weil-Chatêlet group into the Brauer group of the ground field, and draw connections to the period-index problem for genus 1 curves.

1. Introduction

The relative Brauer group of a smooth projective variety over a field is an obstruction to the existence of a rational point on the variety. There are currently few computations of relative Brauer groups known explicitly. Particularly important examples are the homogeneous varieties, whose relative Brauer groups have been described in detail ([MPW96]). Recently, the first examples of computations for genus 1 curves were given in [Han03] in which relative Brauer groups of certain hyperelliptic curves were described. In this paper, we discuss a framework for relative Brauer group computations, give a number of applications, and give some particular examples of relative Brauer groups. In one of these examples we reproduce an example from [Han03] and in others give new example with genus 1 curves of very different forms. In a future paper joint with M. Lieblich, the second author will show how the more general problem of index reduction for a genus 1 curve may be reduced to the problem discussed in this paper of computing the relative Brauer group.

The algorithms described in section 3 for computing relative Brauer groups have been implemented (in certain cases) as a Macaulay2 [M2] package, freely available at the second author's webpage [Kra07]. This package uses pari as well [par], and may be used to produce examples of relative Brauer groups for certain homogeneous spaces of elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Some of the examples in this paper were produced using this program.

1.1. **Organization of the paper.** This paper is composed of two main parts: section 2, which gives a relates pairings in various formulations to the relative Brauer group and

The authors would like to thank Brian Conrad and Adrian Wadsworth for helpful conversations and suggestions during the writing of this paper. Thanks also to Peter Clark for many valuable suggestions and corrections.

period index problem, and section 3, which is concerned with explicit computations of relative Brauer groups.

We now give a brief outline of the different pairings which appear in section 2 and when they are used. In section 2.1, we give a pairing based on a map $\mathfrak{a}$, and relate this both to the relative Brauer group and to the period-index problem. This pairing is the one used in section 3. In sections 2.2 and 2.3, we explain why this pairing agrees with a pairing due to Tate, and give an alternate formulation of Tate's pairing. This alternate formulation allows us in section 2.4 to show that the relative Brauer group may be infinite. In section 2.5, we introduce another well known version of Tate's pairing and use this formulation to show in section 2.6 that it takes a particularly nice form for the homogeneous spaces of "cyclic type," which we define in that section. This gives an explanation for the form of the answers which had previously arisen in [Han03].

1.2. **Conventions and notation.** Throughout the paper we will consider an arbitrary ground field k, and we will denote by $\overline{k}$ a fixed *separable* closure. G will denote the absolute Galois group $Gal(\overline{k}/k)$. For a k-scheme X, we let $\overline{X}$ denote $X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k})$.

For an algebraic group A/k, the abelian group $H^1(G, A(\overline{k}))$ parametrizes principal homogeneous spaces for A (see for example [Ser02]).

For a k-scheme X, we define the index of X to be

$$\mathrm{ind}(X) = \gcd\{[E:k] | E/k \text{ is a finite field extension and } X(E) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

In the case that X is a genus 1 curve, it follows from [Lic68] that this coincides with the same gcd taken only over degrees of separable field extensions.

For a smooth projective variety X, we denote its Picard group by $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and its picard variety by $\mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X$. We let $\mathrm{Pic}_0(X)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ consisting of those divisor classes which are algebraically equivalent to 0. The k-points of $\mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X$ are described as $\mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(k) = \mathrm{Pic}_0(\overline{X})^G$, and in general, $\mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X$ is the sheafification of the Picard functor

$$S \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}_0(X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} S)$$

with respect to the étale topology.

For a k-scheme X, we let $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ denote the Brauer group of equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras, and for a ring R, we write $\mathrm{Br}(R)$ for $\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$. In the case X is a smooth and quasiprojective variety, we may identify $\mathrm{Br}(X) = H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ by the result of Gabber/deJong (see [dJ]), and we have an injection $\mathrm{Br}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(k(X))$ (see [Gro68a, Gro68b, Gro68c] - unless specified otherwise, all cohomology groups are to be interpreted as étale cohomology). Given a morphism $Y \to X$, we define the relative Brauer group, written $\mathrm{Br}(Y/X)$ the kernel of the pullback map $\mathrm{Br}(X) \to \mathrm{Br}(Y)$.

We introduce the following unusual notation: if $x \in X(k)$ is a smooth point on a scheme X, we let $\mathrm{Br}(X, x)$ denote the subgroup of the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ consisting of classes whose specialization at x is trivial. In other words, it is defined by the short exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathrm{Br}(X, x) \to \mathrm{Br}(X) &\to \mathrm{Br}(k) \to 0 \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha|_x \end{aligned}$$

2. The relative Brauer group and pairings

2.1. The relative Brauer group, period and index.

Theorem 2.1.1. *Let C be a genus 1 curve over k. We have an exact sequences:*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Pic}_0 C \to \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_0 C(k) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}_C} \mathrm{Br}(k(C)/k) \to \frac{(\mathrm{per}\, C)\mathbb{Z}}{(\mathrm{ind}\, C)\mathbb{Z}} \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{Pic}\, C \to \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}\, C(k) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}_C} \mathrm{Br}(k(C)/k) \to 0.$$

Proof. The second of these sequences is quite well known. The full proof of this will be given in somewhat more generality below - in particular the second sequence in the proposition is a special case of sequence (4), and the first sequence is the middle row of diagram(2). The first exact sequence occurs also implicitly in [Ols70], in the discussion following theorem 8. □

Although this theorem is not new, for our applications it will be useful to give a self contained explanation which allows us to obtain information about the map $\mathfrak{a}_C$. In particular, in section 3.1, we will give an explicit formula for computing the map $\mathfrak{a}_C$, which will allow us to determine $\mathrm{Br}(k(C)/k)$ for particular curves C.

Let X be a smooth projective variety defined over k. Suppose that X is geometrically irreducible - i.e. $\overline{X}$ is irreducible. Let K be the function field of X, and $\overline{K} = K\overline{k}$, the function field of $\overline{X}$. Let $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ be the Nerón-Severi group $\mathrm{Div}(X)/\mathrm{Div}_0(X)$. We will argue using a collection of exact sequences and diagrams which have appeared with many variations throughout the literature (for example in [Ols70]). Consider the following diagram with exact columns and rows:

(1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{K}^*/\overline{k}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}_0(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}_0(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{K}^*/\overline{k}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Note that $H^0(G, \mathrm{Pic}_0(\overline{X})) = \mathrm{Pic}_0(\overline{X})^G = \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(k)$, and that $\mathrm{Pic}_0(\overline{X}) = \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k})$. When examining the long exact sequences in Galois cohomology arising from this diagram, we find maps $\mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(k), \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X})^G \to H^1(G, \overline{K}^*/\overline{k}^*)$. From the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \overline{k}^* \to \overline{K}^* \to \overline{K}^*/\overline{k}^* \to 0,$$

we may identify (using Hilbert's theorem 90),

$$H^1(G, \overline{K}^*/\overline{k}^*) \cong \ker\big(\mathrm{Br}(k) \to \mathrm{Br}(\overline{K}/K)\big) = \mathrm{Br}(K/k) = \mathrm{Br}(X/k).$$

We define $\mathfrak{a}_X$ to stand for the induced maps

$$\mathfrak{a}_X : \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X})^G \to \mathrm{Br}(X/k) \text{ or}$$
$$\mathfrak{a}_X : \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(k) \to \mathrm{Br}(X/k).$$

We will often write these simply as $\mathfrak{a}$ when the variety X is understood. The following subgroup of the relative Brauer group will be of particular relevance:

Definition 2.1.2. Let $\mathrm{Br}_0(X/k) = \mathfrak{a}(\mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(k))$.

We define in $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})^G$ two subgroups $i(X), p(X)$ as follows:

$$i(X) = \mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{Div}(X) \to \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})^G\right) = \mathrm{im}\left(\mathrm{NS}(X) \to \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})^G\right)$$
$$p(X) = \ker\left(\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})^G \to H^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}_X(\overline{k})\right)$$

We define $\mathrm{d.ind}(X)$, the "divisorial" index group of X to be $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})^G/i(X)$, and we define $\mathrm{per}(X)$, the period group of X to be $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})^G/p(X)$. These groups have been defined and studied independently by Peter Clark (see [Cla]). It follows from the sequences above that the equality $\mathrm{per}(X) = \mathrm{d.ind}(X)$ is equivalent to exactness of:

$$0 \to \mathrm{NS}(X) \to \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})^G \to H^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}_X(\overline{k})).$$

Note that if X is a curve, these groups are both cyclic, with $|\mathrm{d.ind}(X)|$ equal to the the index of the curve and $|\mathrm{per}(X)|$ equal to the period of the curve. That is,

$$|\mathrm{d.ind}(X)| = gcd\{[L:k] | X(L) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and $|\mathrm{per}(X)|$ is the order of the homogeneous space $[\mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}^1_X] \in H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k}))$ - it follows from [Lic69] that the map $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})^G \cong \mathbb{Z} \to H^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}_X(\overline{k}))$ sends 1 to the homogeneous space $\mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}^1_X$. In case X is a curve, we will often abuse notation and write $\mathrm{per}(X)$ and $\mathrm{ind}(X)$ in place of the order of these groups $|\mathrm{per}(X)|, |\mathrm{d.ind}(X)|$.

Lemma 2.1.3. $H^1(k, \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X})) = 0$.

Proof. This follows from the observation that the natural free $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{Div}(\overline{X})$ is permuted by the action of the Galois group. □

Using lemma 2.1.3 and tracing through long exact sequences coming from diagram (1), we get:

(2)
$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}_0(X) & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Pic}_0(\overline{X}))^G & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}_0(X/k) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(X) & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}}))^G & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(X/k) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & i(X) & & p(X) & & \frac{\mathrm{Br}(X/k)}{\mathrm{Br}_0(X/k)} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Using the snake lemma, we get the exact sequence of cokernels (since $\mathrm{Br}_0(X/k)$ injects into $\mathrm{Br}(X/k)$):

$$0 \to i(X) \to p(X) \to \frac{\mathrm{Br}(X/k)}{\mathrm{Br}_0(X/k)} \to 0$$

and consequently we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d.\,ind}(X)}{\mathrm{per}(X)} \cong \frac{\mathrm{Br}(X/k)}{\mathrm{Br}_0(X/k)}, \tag{3}$$

which we may also write using diagram 2 as

$$0 \to \mathrm{Pic}_0(X) \to \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(k) \to \mathrm{Br}(X/k) \to \frac{\mathrm{d.\,ind}(X)}{\mathrm{per}(X)} \to 0. \tag{4}$$

Remark 2.1.4. *We note that these expressions bear resemblance to* [Ols70]*, theorem 8, except for the fact that our presentation is in terms of divisors and Olson's is in terms of* 0*-cycles.* ♦

Corollary 2.1.5. *Suppose X is a curve with* $\mathrm{ind}(X) = \mathrm{per}(X)$*. Then the map* $\mathfrak{a}$ *is surjective.*

Since the Hasse principle holds for elements of $\mathrm{Br}(k)$ for k global, we obtain information about $\mathrm{d.\,ind}(X)$ and $\mathrm{per}(X)$ from local data. In particular, we have a simple generalization of a result of (see [Cas62], [O'N02], see also [Cla06]), the proof being an adaptation of the ideas of [O'N02] and [Cla06] to the present context. This may also be seen as a "divisorial" analog to [Ols70], corollary 16.

Corollary 2.1.6. *Suppose k is a global field, and let X/k be a smooth projective variety. Then if $X_v(k_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all but possibly one valuation v on k, then* $\mathrm{per}(X) \cong \mathrm{d.\,ind}(X)$*.*

Proof. It suffices to see that these conditions force the relative Brauer group of X to be trivial. If $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X/k)$, we note that $\alpha_{k_v} \in \mathrm{Br}(X_{k_v}/k_v)$ for every valuation v. By the hypothesis and by lemma 2.4.5, we have $\alpha_{k_v} = 0$. This means that all except possibly one of the Hasse invariants of α vanishes. But by reciprocity, the sum of the Hasse invariants is 0 implying that $\alpha = 0$. □

This immediately implies the result of Cassels [Cas62]:

Corollary 2.1.7. *If $\mathcal{E}$ is an elliptic curve and $C \in Ш(\mathcal{E})$, then C satisfies the above criterion, and hence must have index equal to period.*

The map $\mathfrak{a}_C$ may be interpreted in a variety of ways, particularly in terms of pairings. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an elliptic curve over k. Given an element $\gamma \in H^1(k, \mathcal{E}(\overline{k}))$, let $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ be a choice of a principal homogeneous space in the class of γ. We may define a map of sets

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(k, \mathcal{E}(\overline{k})) \times \mathcal{E}(k) &\to \mathrm{Br}(k) \\ (\gamma, p) &\mapsto \mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}(p) \end{aligned}$$

A priori, this is only a map of sets which is a homomorphism in the second variable. A result of Lichtenbaum ([Lic68]) shows, however, that this agrees with a bilinear pairing due to Tate.

2.2. **Tate's pairing.** Let us now recall Tate's pairing. Although our description is not exactly the standard one, the equivalence the two definitions is not hard to show (in particular, ours is a slight reformulation of the description given in [Lic68]). Let A/k be an abelian variety, and let $B = \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_A$ be the Picard variety of A. We will define a pairing

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(k, A(\overline{k})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B(k) &\to \mathrm{Br}(k) \\ \gamma \otimes p &\mapsto \langle \gamma, p \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $Z(A)$ the group of 0-dimensional cycles on $A_{\overline{k}}$ of degree 0, and by $Y(A)$ the Albanese kernel of A defined by the exact sequence:

$$0 \to Y(A) \to Z(A) \to A(\overline{k}) \to 0.$$

Let $D \subset A \times B$ be a Poincaré divisor. We define $Z(A)_{\overline{\prod} D}$ (respectively $Y(A)_{\overline{\prod} D}$) to be the subset of $Z(A)$ (resp. Y(A)) of elements α, such that $|\alpha| \times B$ transversally intersects D, where $|\alpha|$ is the support of α. Note that there is a well defined map $Z(A)_{\overline{\prod} D} \to \mathrm{Div}(B)$ given by $\alpha \mapsto \pi_B(\alpha \cap D)$. Since this agrees with the cycle theoretic map $(\pi_B)_*(\alpha \cdot D)$, it follows that images of elements in $Y(A)_{\overline{\prod} D}$ are rationally equivalent to 0. In particular we get a map $Y(A)_{\overline{\prod} D} \to \mathrm{Prin}(A)$, the group of principal divisors on A.

To define the Tate pairing, we start with a class $\gamma \in H^1(k, A(\overline{k})) = H^1(k, A)$, and let $\alpha \in H^2(k, Y(A))$ be its image under the connecting homomorphism. Choose a representative cochain $\overline{\alpha}$ for α and let D be a Poincaré divisor transversal to $|\overline{\alpha}|$. We may construct a cocycle $\beta \in H^2(k, \mathrm{Prin}(B))$ by applying the map $\pi_B(\ _\ \cap D)$ to α. Writing $\mathrm{Prin}(B) = \overline{k}(B)^*/\overline{k}^*$, it turns out that for every $p \in B(k)$, the element β may be lifted to a cocycle $b(\gamma) \in H^2(k, \overline{k}(B)^*)$ representing an element of the Brauer group of $k(B)$ unramified at p. The pairing is then defined by $\langle \gamma, p \rangle = b(\gamma)|_p$.

Proposition 2.2.1. *The Tate pairing agrees with the one described after theorem 2.1.1, i.e. if $\mathcal{E}$ is an elliptic curve, $\gamma \in H^1(k, \mathcal{E}(\overline{k}))$, and $p \in \mathcal{E}(k)$, then $\langle \gamma, p \rangle = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{E}_\gamma}(p)$.*

Proof. The statement that these coincide is proved in [Lic68], pages 1213-1216. Since it is not stated as a theorem in this paper, we note that on page 1213 of [Lic68], Lichtenbaum defines the pairing due to Tate, on page 1215, he defines the pairing coming from $\mathfrak{a}$, and in pages 1215-1216 proves that these coincide. □

2.3. **Alternative formulation of Tate's pairing.** Let X be a smooth projective curve over k. We also give another description of the Tate pairing which will be useful for us using the well known identification of $H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k}))$ with a subgroup of $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ in the case $X(k) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.3.1. *There is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{A} : H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Br}(X, x)$, and in the case that $X = \mathcal{E}$ is an elliptic curve, and $x = 0_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the origin of the curve, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(k, \mathcal{E}(\overline{k})) \times \mathcal{E}(k) &\to Br(k) \\ (\alpha, p) &\mapsto \mathcal{A}(\alpha)|_p \end{aligned}$$

coincides with the Tate pairing. In other words, $\mathcal{A}(\alpha)|_p = \langle \alpha, p \rangle = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{E}_\alpha}(p)$.

One useful consequence of this formulation is the following result, which essentially says that a nontrivial homogeneous space may always be detected by its relative Brauer group, at least after extending the ground field:

Corollary 2.3.2. *Suppose* $[C] \in H^1(k, \mathcal{E}(\overline{k}))$*, and let* $x \in \mathcal{E}\big(k(\mathcal{E})\big)$ *be the generic point of* $\mathcal{E}$*. Then the class* $\big\langle [C]_{k(\mathcal{E})}, x \big\rangle \in \mathrm{Br}(k(\mathcal{E}))$ *is unramified and hence lies in* $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{E})$*. Further,* $\mathcal{A}^{-1}\big\langle [C]_{k(\mathcal{E})}, x \big\rangle = [C]$*. In particular, if* $[C] \neq 0$*, then* $0 \neq \big\langle [C]_{k(\mathcal{E})}, x \big\rangle \in \mathrm{Br}\left(C_{k(\mathcal{E})}/k(\mathcal{E})\right)$*, and so* $\mathrm{Br}\left(C_{k(\mathcal{E})}/k(\mathcal{E})\right) \neq 0$*.*

Remark 2.3.3. *In fact, using theorem 2.3.1 to relate this to the map* $\mathfrak{a}$*, corollary 2.3.2 implies that* $\mathrm{Br}_0\left(C_{k(\mathcal{E})}/k(\mathcal{E})\right) \neq 0$ *(recall the definition of* Br_0 *from definition 2.1.2).* ♦

The proof of theorem 2.3.1 will make use of a couple of cohomological lemmas:

Lemma 2.3.4. (1) $H^i(k, \mathrm{Div}_0(\overline{X})) \to H^i(k, \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X}))$ *is a split injection for* $i > 0$*.*
(2) $H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k})) = H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k})) = H^1(k, \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X}))$*.*

Proof. We use the fact that the existence of a rational point $x \in X(k)$ makes the following short exact sequences split exact:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Div}_0(\overline{X}) \to \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X}) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$$
$$0 \to \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k}) \to \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X}) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

and the results follow immediately from the long exact sequences in cohomology and the fact that $H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}) = Hom_c(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. □

Lemma 2.3.5. *Suppose* X *is a curve over* k *with* $x \in X(k)$*. Then the natural map* $H^i(k, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H^i(k(X), \mathbb{G}_m)$ *is injective for* $i > 0$*.*

Proof. Consider the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of x in X. Since the map $k \to k(X)$ may be factored as $k \to \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \to k(X)$, it is enough to show that the map $r : H^i(k, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H^i(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is injective and that $\operatorname{im} r$ is not in the kernel of $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H^i(k(X), \mathbb{G}_m)$.

Injectivity of the map r follows immediately since the map $H^i(k, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H^i(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ has a splitting defined by pullback with respect to the inclusion $x \hookrightarrow X$.

Since x is a smooth point of X, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^*_{\overline{X},x} \to \overline{k}(X)^* \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

and by choosing a generator $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$, we get a splitting of the above sequence sending $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ to $\pi \in \overline{k}(X)^*$. In particular, this shows that the induced map on the Galois cohomology $H^i(k, O^*_{\overline{X},x}) \to H^i(k, \overline{k}^*)$ is injective. But we may identify $H^i(k, \mathcal{O}^*_{\overline{X},x})$ with the subgroup of $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ corresponding to the étale cover $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},x}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. Since every cycle in $H^i(k, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is split by the cover $\overline{k}/k$, this says that the map $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H^i(k(X), \mathbb{G}_m)$ is injective when restricted to the image of r as desired. □

Let us now describe the construction of the map $\mathcal{A}$. Although this could be done more compactly using the Leray-Serre spectral sequence, it will be useful to construct it more

explicitly. Consider the Picard sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} (5) & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}_0(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k}) & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Using the long exact cohomology sequences associated to these short exact sequences (5) and lemmas 2.3.4 and 2.1.3, we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \to H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X) \to H^2(k, \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X})) \to H^2(k, \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X})). \tag{6}$$

From the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{k}^* \to \overline{k}(X)^* \to \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X}) \to 0,$$

we find an exact sequence

$$H^2(k, \overline{k}^*) \to H^2(k, \overline{k}(X)^*) \to H^2(k, \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X})) \to H^3(k, \overline{k}^*) \to H^3(k, \overline{k}(X)^*). \tag{7}$$

Using lemma 2.3.5, and using Tsen's theorem to show $H^2(k, \overline{k}(X)^*) = H^2(k(X), \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{Br}(k(X))$, we get a short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Br}(k) \to \mathrm{Br}(k(X)) \to H^2(k, \mathrm{Prin}(X)) \to 0. \tag{8}$$

Recall that the map $\overline{k}(X) \to \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X})$ induces a map $ram : Br(k(X)) \to H^2(k, \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X}))$ called the ramification map, and $\ker(ram) = Br(X)$. Let us denote the kernel of $H^2(k, \mathrm{Prin}(X)) \to H^2(k, \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X}))$ by $H^2_{nr}(k, \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X}))$. Then we have a diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(X) & \longrightarrow & H^2_{nr}(k, \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br}(k(X)) & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X})) & = & H^2(k, \mathrm{Div}(\overline{X})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since X has a rational point $x \in X(k)$, the exact sequence on top is a split injection, and we may write $H^2_{nr}(k, \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X})) = \mathrm{Br}(X, x)$. Using sequence (6) to say $H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k})) = H^2_{nr}(k, \mathrm{Prin}(\overline{X}))$, we therefore obtain a split exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Br}(k) \to \mathrm{Br}(X) \to \mathrm{Br}(X, x) \cong H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k})) \to 0. \tag{9}$$

Remark 2.3.6. *We may describe the isomorphism $\mathcal{A} : H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k})) \to \mathrm{Br}(X, x)$ compactly as follows: Given $\gamma \in H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X(\overline{k})) = H^1(k, \mathscr{P}\mathrm{ic}_X)$, consider the short exact sequence on the top row of (5) and take the image of γ under the connecting homomorphism. This is an element $\widetilde{\gamma} \in H^2(k, \mathrm{Prin}(X)) = H^2(k, \overline{k}(X)^*/\overline{k}^*)$. The content of the argument above is to say that we may lift $\widetilde{\gamma}$ to an unramified class $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)$ in $H^2(k, \overline{k}(X)^*)$.* ♦

proof of theorem 2.3.1. It is not hard to check that the operation $\pi_B(\ _\ \cap D)$ gives a commutative diagram of *sets*:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Prin}(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}_0(B) & \longrightarrow & A(\overline{k}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \| & & \\ & & Y(A)_{\pitchfork D} & \longrightarrow & Z(A)_{\pitchfork D} & \longrightarrow & A(\overline{k}) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y(A) & \longrightarrow & Z(A) & \longrightarrow & A(\overline{k}) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \tag{10}$$

where the top and bottom rows are exact sequences of abelian groups with Galois action. The pairing of Tate uses the connecting homomorphism from the bottom of diagram (10) on elements which lie in the middle row, while the pairing using $\mathcal{A}$ uses the connecting homomorphism on the top of the diagram. The result therefore follows immediately from commutativity of the diagram. □

2.4. **Application: How big is the relative Brauer group?** The following result is an elementary consequence of the theorem of Lang and Neron [LN59], and is known by the experts.

Proposition 2.4.1. *Suppose that X is a variety defined over a field k which is local or finitely generated over a prime field. Then* $\mathrm{Br}(k(X)/k)$ *is finite.*

Proof. In the case that k is local this is immediate from [Roq66], theorem 1. If k is finitely generated over its prime field, one knows by [LN59] that $\mathrm{Pic}_X(k)$ is a finitely generated group. Since the map $\mathfrak{a}_X : \mathrm{Pic}_X(k) \to \mathrm{Br}(X/k)$ is a surjective map, this implies $\mathrm{Br}(X/k)$ is finitely generated. But since $\mathrm{Br}(X/k)$ is also a torsion group, this immediately implies it is finite. □

Proposition 2.4.2. *Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is an elliptic curve defined over a field k, and suppose C is a nontrivial homogeneous space over $\mathcal{E}$. Then there exists a field extension L/k (not necessarily finitely generated) such that the relative Brauer group* $\mathrm{Br}(L(C)/L)$ *is infinite.*

We'll use the following lemma, due to Nishimura [Nis55]:

Lemma 2.4.3. *Suppose X and Y are schemes over k such that Y is proper, $Y(k) = \emptyset$, X is irreducible and $x \in X(k)$ is a smooth point. Then $Y(k(X)) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on $dim(X)$. Suppose that $Y(k(X)) \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a rational morphism $\phi : X \dashrightarrow Y$. If $dim(X) = 0$ then $x = X$ and ϕ gives an element of $Y(k)$, contradicting our hypothesis $Y(k) = \emptyset$. For the general induction step, let $\widetilde{X}$ by the blowup of X at the point x and let $E \subset \widetilde{X}$ be the exceptional divisor. Since the map ϕ may be defined in a set of codimension at least 2 and in particular, by restricting this morphism to E, we obtain a rational map $E \dashrightarrow Y$. Since $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{dim(X)-1}$, E contains a smooth k-point and is irreducible. Therefore by letting E take the role of X, the induction hypothesis implies $Y(k) \neq \emptyset$, contradicting our hypothesis and completing the proof. □

One consequence of this fact is that index of projective varieties is not changed by such field extensions:

Corollary 2.4.4. *Suppose Y is a projective variety, and X is a variety with a smooth rational k-point. Then* $\operatorname{ind} Y = \operatorname{ind} Y_{k(X)}$.

Proof. For a positive integer n, let $Y^{[n]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of n points on Y. Since this is a projective variety, lemma 2.4.3 tells us that $Y^{[n]}(k) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $Y^{[n]}(k(X)) \neq \emptyset$. Since the index of Y may be thought of as the gcd of the set of positive integers n such that $Y^{[n]}$ has a rational point, we obtain $\operatorname{ind} Y = \operatorname{ind} Y_{k(X)}$. □

The following useful corollary is well known:

Corollary 2.4.5. *Suppose X is a scheme defined over k with a smooth point $x \in X$. Then the restriction map* $\operatorname{Br}(k) \to \operatorname{Br}(k(X))$ *is injective.*

Proof. Recall that for a central simple algebra A over a field F, A is split if and only if the associated Severi-Brauer variety SB_A has an F-point [Sal99]. Therefore, if A is a central simple k algebra with $[A] \neq 0$ in $\operatorname{Br}(k)$, then the variety SB_A has no k-points. If the algebra is split by $k(X)$ then this implies SB_A does have a point over $k(X)$. Since SB_A is a proper variety, this would contradict lemma 2.4.3. Therefore we must have $SB_A(k(X)) = \emptyset$ and $[A]_{k(X)} \neq 0$. □

Lemma 2.4.6. *Suppose C is a homogeneous space for an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$. Then if $L = k(\mathcal{E})$, there is a Brauer class $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(C_L/L)$ and an injection* $\operatorname{Br}(C/k) \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Br}(C_L/L)$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(L)$ be the generic point, and let $\alpha = \mathfrak{a}_C(\eta)$. By lemma 2.4.3, the map $\operatorname{Br}(k) \to \operatorname{Br}(L)$ is injective. By theorem 2.3.1 and corollary 2.3.2, the element α is the restriction of the Brauer class $\mathcal{A}(C) \in \operatorname{Br}(\mathcal{E}, 0_{\mathcal{E}}) \subset \operatorname{Br}(\mathcal{E})$ to the generic point $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$, and $\alpha \neq 0$.

Using the sequence (9), we may write $\operatorname{Br}(\mathcal{E}, 0_{\mathcal{E}}) \oplus \operatorname{Br}(k) = \operatorname{Br}(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Br}(L)$. In particular, since $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(\mathcal{E}, 0_{\mathcal{E}})$ and $\operatorname{Br}(C/k) \subset \operatorname{Br}(k)$, the groups $\langle \alpha \rangle$ and $\operatorname{Br}(C/k)$ do not intersect considered as subgroups of $\operatorname{Br}(C_L/L) \subset \operatorname{Br}(L)$. In particular, we obtain an injection $\operatorname{Br}(C/k) \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Br}(C_L/L)$ as claimed. □

proof of 2.4.2. Suppose C is a homogeneous space of index n. We will begin by reducing to the case that n is prime. This is not essential for the result, but helps the exposition of the proof. Let p be a prime divisor of n, and let F'/k be a prime to p closure of k. Note that $C(F') = \emptyset$ still with $ind(C'_F) = p^k$. Since every field extension has degree a power of p, we have

$$\operatorname{ind}(C_{F'}) = \gcd\{[E : F'] | C(E) \neq \emptyset\} = \min\{[E : F' | C(E) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Consequently there is a field E/F' of degree p^k with $C(E) \neq \emptyset$. Since F' is prime to p-closed, it follows that there is an intermediate field extension $F' \subset F \subset E$ with $[F : F'] = p^{k-1}$. Consequently, C_F has index exactly p.

We will construct a chain of field extensions of F,

$$F = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \cdots,$$

such that $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^i \subset \operatorname{Br}(C_{L_i}/L_i)$, and $\operatorname{Br}(C_{L_{i-1}}/L_{i-1})$ injects into $\operatorname{Br}(C_{L_i}/L_i)$. Assuming that this has been done for $i-1$, we define L_i to be the function field $L_{i-1}(C)$. By lemma 2.4.6, there is an $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(L_i(C)/L_i)$ such that $\operatorname{Br}(L_{i-1}(C)/L_{i-1}) \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Br}(L_i(C)/L_i)$. Since the index of C_{L_i} is p, $\langle \alpha \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, and the induction step follows from the fact that $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^{i-1} \subset \operatorname{Br}(L_{i-1}(C)/L_{i-1})$.

Let $L = \varinjlim L_i = \cup_i L_i$. Clearly the natural restriction map $\mathrm{Br}(L_i) \to \mathrm{Br}(L)$ maps $\mathrm{Br}(L_i(C)/L_i)$ to $\mathrm{Br}(L(C)/L)$. I claim that this map is injective. Arguing by contradiction, let us suppose there is an $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(L_i(C)/L_i)$ with $\alpha_L = 0$. If A is a central simple algebra in the class of α, then this says that the Severi-Brauer variety SB_A has an L-rational point [Sal99]. With respect to some projective embedding of the variety SB_A, this point has a finite number of coordinates, which must therefore lie in some field L_j, for a sufficiently large integer j. But this means $SB_A(L_j) \neq \emptyset$ and so L_j splits A. This implies that $\alpha_{L_i} = 0$. But this contradicts the injectivity of $\mathrm{Br}(C_{L_{i-1}}/L_{i-1}) \to \mathrm{Br}(C_{L_i}/L_i)$.

We therefore have $\cup \mathrm{Br}(L_i(C)/L_i) \subset \mathrm{Br}(L(C)/L)$, which implies $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\infty \subset \mathrm{Br}(L(C)/L)$ as desired. □

2.5. **Yet more pairings.** Let G be a profinite group and suppose we have exact sequences of G-modules:

$$0 \to A \to B \xrightarrow{\phi} C \to 0$$

$$0 \to A' \to B' \xrightarrow{\phi'} C' \to 0$$

In this section we will construct a pairing

$$H^1(G, B)[\phi] \otimes H^0(G, C')/(\mathrm{im}\, H^0(G, B')) \to H^2(G, A \otimes A')$$

This will be particularly useful in the case that ϕ and ϕ' are dual isogenies on elliptic curves. At the end of this section we will consider the case that both ϕ and ϕ' are multiplication by n, and in the next section we will examine isogenies with cyclic kernels.

The following lemma is the main tool for showing that these pairings are well defined.

Lemma 2.5.1. *Suppose $c \in H^0(G, C)$ and $c' \in H^0(G, C')$. Then the cup product $\delta c \cup \delta c' \in H^2(G, A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A')$ is zero, where δ here represents the connecting homomorphism from the short exact sequences.*

Proof. We will show this concretely by explicitly constructing a 1 chain whose boundary is this cycle. Using the bar resolution, and choosing specific representatives for c, c', we may write for $\sigma, \tau \in G$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta c \cup \delta c'(\sigma, \tau) &= \delta c(\sigma) \otimes \sigma(\delta c'(\tau)) \\ &= (\sigma(c) - c) \otimes \sigma\big(\tau(c') - c'\big) \\ &= \sigma(c) \otimes \sigma(c') + \Big(\sigma(c) \otimes \sigma\tau(c') + c \otimes \sigma(c') - c \otimes \sigma\tau(c')\Big) \end{aligned}$$

If we define $\lambda, \mu : G \to A \otimes A$ as $\lambda(\sigma) = \sigma(c \otimes c')$, and $\mu(\sigma) = c \otimes \sigma(c')$, then we obtain chains in $C^1(G, A \otimes A')$, and computing boundaries, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mu(\sigma, \tau) &= \sigma(\mu(\tau)) - \mu(\sigma\tau) + \mu(\sigma) \\ &= \sigma(c \otimes \tau(c')) - c \otimes \sigma\tau(c') + c \otimes \sigma(c') \end{aligned}$$

and a similar, easier computation shows $\delta\lambda(\sigma, \tau) = \sigma(c) \otimes \sigma(c')$. In particular,

$$\delta(-\lambda - \mu) = \delta c \cup \delta c',$$

and so $\delta c \cup \delta c'$ is 0 in group cohomology. □

For a morphism $\phi : M \to N$ of G-modules, we will use the notation $M[\phi]$ to denote the kernel of ϕ.

This lemma allows us to construct pairings as follows:

Lemma 2.5.2.

$$0 \to A \to B \xrightarrow{\phi} C \to 0$$

$$0 \to A' \to B' \xrightarrow{\phi'} C' \to 0$$

Then the cup product $H^1(G, A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^1(G, A') \to H^2(G, A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A')$ *defines natural pairing:*

$$H^1(G, B)[\phi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(G, C')/(\operatorname{im} H^0(G, B')) \to H^2(G, A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A')$$
$$b \otimes c' \mapsto a \cup \delta c',$$

where $a \in H^1(G, A)$ *is a preimage of* b.

Proof. To show that the above gives a well defined pairing, we need only show that the definition given does not depend on the choice of preimage a. To show this it suffices to show that if a maps to 0 in $H^1(G, B)$, then it pairs any element trivially. But by the long exact sequence, if a maps to 0, we may write $a = \delta c$, and by lemma 2.5.1, $\delta c' \cup a = 0$. □

One application of this arises from the Kummer sequence on an elliptic curve. In the case where both exact sequences in corollary are equal to

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}[n] \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \to 0,$$

we obtain a pairing $\beta : \mathcal{E}(k)/n \times H^1(k, \mathcal{E})[n] \to H^2(k, \mathcal{E}[n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}[n])$, and composing with the Weil pairing $\omega : \mathcal{E}[n] \times \mathcal{E}[n] \to \mu_n$, we get a pairing

$$\omega\beta : \mathcal{E}(k)/n \times WC(\mathcal{E})[n] \to H^2(k, \mu_n) = \operatorname{Br}(k)[n] \subset \operatorname{Br}(k).$$

Proposition 2.5.3. *Let* $\mathcal{E}$ *be an elliptic curve over* k. *Then the pairings*

$$\omega\beta \text{ and } \langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathcal{E}(k) \times WC(\mathcal{E}) \to \operatorname{Br}(k)$$

coincide.

Proof. See [Baš72]. □

2.6. **Cyclic homogeneous spaces.** Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an elliptic curve over k. We call a finite Galois submodule $T \subset \mathcal{E}$ cyclic if it is cyclic as an abstract group. In other words, T is a closed reduced subscheme of $\mathcal{E}$ such that $T(\overline{k})$ is a cyclic subgroup of $\mathcal{E}(\overline{k})$.

Definition 2.6.1. Let C be a homogeneous space for $\mathcal{E}$ of period $n = \operatorname{per}(C)$. We say that C has cyclic type if its cohomology class in $H^1(k, \mathcal{E})$ may be represented as the image of a cocycle $\gamma \in H^1(k, T)$ where $T \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a cyclic submodule of order n.

The following observation shows that cyclic homogeneous spaces are somewhat special:

Proposition 2.6.2. *Suppose* C *is a cyclic homogeneous space for* $\mathcal{E}$. *Then* $\operatorname{per}(C) = \operatorname{ind}(C)$.

Proof. Choose a particular Galois cocycle $\overline{\gamma} \in Z^1(G,T)$ representing C. We may describe $\overline{C}$ as $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ equipped with the new Galois action $\sigma \cdot p = \sigma(p) \oplus \overline{\gamma}(\sigma)$. Let $\phi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$ be the isogeny with kernel T. Consider the isogeny $\phi_C : \overline{C} \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ given after the above identification by $\phi \times_k \overline{k}$. We claim that this descends to give a morphism $C \to \mathcal{E}$. To see this we need to check $\sigma(\phi(p)) = \phi(\sigma \cdot p)$. But since $\overline{\gamma}(\sigma) \in T = \ker(\phi)$, we have

$$\phi(\sigma \cdot p) = \phi(\sigma(p) \oplus \overline{\gamma}(\sigma)) = \phi(\sigma(p)) \oplus \phi(\overline{\gamma}(\sigma)) = \phi(\sigma(p)) = \sigma(\phi(p)).$$

Now, since we have a n to 1 étale cover $C \to \mathcal{E}$, the preimage of the origin in $\mathcal{E}$ gives a separable point in C of degree n over k, and therefore $\operatorname{ind}(C) | n = \operatorname{per}(C)$. But since $\operatorname{per}(C) | \operatorname{ind}(C)$ holds for any curve C, these must be equal. □

Given any finite Galois submodule $T \subset \mathcal{E}$, we obtain an isogeny $0 \to T \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{E}' \to 0$ for an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}'$ and a dual isogeny $0 \to T' \to \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathcal{E} \to 0$. If $i : T \to E[n]$ is the natural inclusion, it is easy to see that we obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{E}' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi'} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow[n]{} & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \tag{11}$$

and we may also obtain a second commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{n} & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}' & \xrightarrow[\phi']{} & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \tag{12}$$

which by the snake lemma gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \to T \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{E}[n] \xrightarrow{p} T' \to 0. \tag{13}$$

where i is induced by the natural inclusion and p is induced by the map ϕ.

Proposition 2.6.3. *Suppose that $T \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a cyclic submodule of order n, and let $\mathcal{E}', T'$ be as above. Then there is a natural isomorphism of G-modules:*

$$T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} T' \cong \mu_n.$$

Proof. We have a non-degenerate Weil pairing (see [Sil99]):

$$\mathcal{E}[n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}[n] \to \mu_n. \tag{14}$$

Since this pairing is alternating, we have $\langle T, T \rangle = 0$, and so we have an induced non-degenerate pairing $T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}[n]/T \to \mu_n$. But by equation (13), we obtain a nondegenerate pairing $T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} T' \to \mu_n$. Since this is clearly an isomorphism ignoring the Galois action, this gives an isomorphism of Galois modules $T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} T' \cong \mu_n$ as desired. □

Now, suppose $\mathcal{E}/k$ is an elliptic curve, and $T \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a cyclic submodule of order n. If we denote the Weil pairing $\mathcal{E}[n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}[n] \to \mu_n$ by ω, we have via proposition 2.6.3, a pairing $\overline{\omega} : T' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} T \to \mu$ which may be explicitly described as $\overline{\omega}(t' \otimes t) = \omega\big(p^{-1}(t') \otimes i(t)\big)$, where i, p are as in equation (13).

Consider the pair of exact sequences

$$0 \to T \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{E}' \to 0$$

$$0 \to T' \to \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathcal{E} \to 0$$

coming from the isogeny with kernel T and its dual isogeny. These sequences of Galois modules induce by lemma 2.5.2 a map $\mathcal{E}(k) \otimes H^1(k, \mathcal{E})[\phi] \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^2(k, T' \otimes T) \xrightarrow{\overline{\omega}} H^2(k, \mu_n)$. The Kummer sequence $\mathcal{E}[n] \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{n} \mathcal{E} \to 0$ similarly induces a pairing $\mathcal{E}(k) \otimes H^1(k, E)[n] \xrightarrow{\beta} H^2(k, \mathcal{E}[n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}[n]) \xrightarrow{\omega} H^2(k, \mu_n)$.

Lemma 2.6.4. *The pairings above are compatible in the sense that we have a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{E}(k) \otimes H^1(k, \mathcal{E})[\phi] & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^2(k, T' \otimes T) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\omega}} & H^2(k, \mu_n) \\
\downarrow & & & & \| \\
\mathcal{E}(k) \otimes H^1(k, \mathcal{E})[n] & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H^2(k, \mathcal{E}[n] \otimes \mathcal{E}[n]) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & H^2(k, \mu_n),
\end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow on the left is the natural inclusion.

Before proving this lemma, we will derive the following consequence:

Theorem 2.6.5. *Using the notation $\mathcal{E}, T, T', \mathcal{E}'$ as above, Suppose C is a cyclic homogeneous space for $\mathcal{E}$ given by a cocycle $\gamma \in H^1(k, T)$. Let $\overline{\gamma} \in H^1(k, \mathcal{E})[\phi]$ be the image of γ. Then for $p \in \mathcal{E}(k)$, $\mathfrak{a}_C(p) = \alpha(p, \overline{\gamma})$. In particular, we have a surjective map to the Brauer group which factors as:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E}(k)/\mathcal{E}'(k) & \twoheadrightarrow & Br(\mathcal{E}/k) \\
& \searrow \quad \nearrow_{\cup\gamma} & \\
& H^1(k, T') &
\end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from proposition 2.5.3 together with lemma 2.6.4 and corollary 2.1.5. The final sequence comes directly this description of the map $\mathfrak{a}_C$ combined with theorem 2.1.1. □

proof of lemma 2.6.4. Choose $x \in \mathcal{E}(k)$ and $\gamma \in H^1(k, \mathcal{E})[\phi]$. Denote by j_T, j_n the inclusions of the submodules T and $\mathcal{E}[n]$ into $\mathcal{E}$. By lemma 2.5.2 $\alpha(x, \gamma) = \delta_{\phi'} x \cup {j_T}^{-1}\gamma$, where $\delta_{\phi'}$ is the connecting homomorphism for the long exact cohomology sequence arising from $0 \to T' \to \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathcal{E} \to 0$, and $\beta(x, \gamma) = \delta_n x \cup {j_n}^{-1}\gamma$.

Choose $y \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{k})$ with $[n]y = x$. By the commutativity of diagram (12), $z = \phi(y)$ satisfies $\phi'(z) = x$. Therefore we may compute $\delta_{\phi'}(\sigma) = \sigma(z) - z, \delta_n(\sigma) = \sigma(y) - y$ for $\sigma \in Gal(k)$. Further, if we choose $\gamma' \in H^1(T)$ with $j_T(\gamma') = \gamma$, we have by commutativity of diagram 11 that $j_n i(\gamma') = j_T(\gamma') = \gamma$. We may now compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\omega}\big(\alpha(x\otimes\gamma)(\sigma,\tau)\big) &= \overline{\omega}\big((\delta_{\phi'}x\cup j_T^{-1}\gamma)(\sigma,\tau)\big)\\ &= \overline{\omega}\big((\sigma(z)-z)\otimes\sigma(\gamma'(\tau))\big)\\ &= \overline{\omega}\big((\sigma(\phi y)-\phi y)\otimes\sigma(\gamma'(\tau))\big)\\ &= \overline{\omega}\big((\phi((\sigma y)-y))\otimes\sigma(\gamma'(\tau))\big)\end{aligned}$$

since p is induced by ϕ, this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&= \overline{\omega}\big((p((\sigma y)-y))\otimes\sigma(\gamma'(\tau))\big)\\ &= \omega\big((\sigma(y)-y), i\sigma(\gamma'(\tau))\big)\\ &= \omega\big((\sigma(y)-y), \sigma(i\gamma'(\tau))\big)\\ &= \omega\big((\delta_n x\cup j_n^{-1}\gamma)(\sigma,\tau)\big)\\ &= \omega\big(\beta(x,\gamma)(\sigma,\tau)\big)\end{aligned}$$

□

3. The Brauer obstruction map $\mathfrak{a}_C$ for genus 1 curves

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an elliptic curve over k given on an affine patch by the equation:

$$y^2+a_1xy+a_3y = x^3+a_2x^2+a_4x+a_6$$

Let E/k a G-Galois extension (which is no longer assumed to be the entire Galois group), and let $\gamma\in Z^1(G,\mathcal{E}(E))$ be a 1-cocycle (crossed homomorphism) representing a homogeneous space C/k for $\mathcal{E}/k$. That is to say, as G varieties, C_E is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_E$ with the Galois action $\sigma^\gamma = \oplus_{\gamma(\sigma)}\circ\sigma$, where by $\oplus_p$ we mean the automorphism of the elliptic curve given by addition by $p\in\mathcal{E}$. This means, for example, that for $p\in\mathcal{E}(E)$, we have $\sigma^\gamma(p)=\gamma(\sigma)\oplus\sigma(p)$. With this in mind, we represent points in $C(E)$ by points in $\mathcal{E}(E)$, just with a different G-module structure.

For a function $f\in E(X)$, where X is a G-variety, we have an action of $\sigma\in G$ on f by $\sigma(f)=\sigma\circ f\circ\sigma^{-1}$ where the σ^{-1} is the action on X and the σ is induced by the action on E. In particular, if we identify $E(C)$ with $E(\mathcal{E})$ with a twisted action, we may write our action of $\sigma\in G$ on $f\in E(C)$ via

$$\sigma^\gamma(f)(p) = \sigma\circ f\circ(\sigma^\gamma)^{-1}(p) = \sigma\circ f\circ\sigma^{-1}(p\ominus\gamma(\sigma)) \tag{15}$$

3.1. **Computations.** The goal of this section is to explicitly describe the map $\mathfrak{a}_C : \mathcal{E}(k)\to \mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ described above in theorem 2.1.1. Given an element $p\in\mathcal{E}(k)$, this works in the following steps:

1. Represent p as an element in $(Pic_0(C_E))^G$.
2. Pull this element back to a element in $D_p\in Div_0(C_E)$.
3. Compute the coboundary ∂D_p as a 1-cocycle with values in $Div_0(C_E)$.
4. Realize these values as lying in principal divisors on C - i.e. for each p,σ, find a function $f_{p,\sigma}\in E(C)$ whose divisor is $\partial D_p(\sigma)$. This gives a 1-cochain $f_p(\sigma)=f_{p,\sigma}$.
5. Let $\widetilde{c}_p=\partial f_p$, and note that we may consider this as a 2-cocycle with values in E^* (i.e. values are constant). That is to say, choosing $q\in C(E)$, we have a 2-cocycle $c_p(\sigma,\tau)=\widetilde{c}_p(\sigma,\tau)(q)$. This is our Brauer group element.

It will be useful to have an explicit way to show that certain divisors are principal. We begin with the following definition:

Definition 3.1.1. Suppose $p, q \in \mathcal{E}(E)$. Define the function $l_{p,q} \in E(\mathcal{E})$ in the following way:

- if $p = q = \infty$, then $l_{p,q} = 1$.
- if $p \neq q = \infty$, $p = (x_1, y_1)$ then $l_{p,q} = x - x_1$.
- if $p, q \neq \infty$, $p = q = (x_1, y_1)$, then
$$\begin{aligned} l_{p,q} =& (y - y_1)(2y_1 + a_1x_1 + a_3) \\ & - (x - x_1)(3x_1^2 + 2a_2x_1 + a_4 - a_1y_1). \end{aligned}$$
- if $p, q \neq \infty$, $p \neq q$, $p = (x_1, y_1), q = (x_2, y_2)$, then
$$l_{p,q} = (y_2 - y_1)x - (x_2 - x_1)y + x_2y_1 - x_1y_2.$$

Lemma 3.1.2. *Let $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{E}(E)$, and let $q = p_1 \oplus p_2$. Then*
$$\left(\frac{l_{p_1,p_2}}{l_{q,\ominus q}}\right) = p_1 + p_2 - q - 0_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Proof. This is a routine verification. Note that $l_{p,q}$ is the equation of a line in $\mathbb{A}^2$ which passes through the points p, q. □

We now go through the above steps in sequence:

1. For $p \in \mathcal{E}(k)$, we represent p by the class of the divisor $p - 0_{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathrm{Pic}_0(C)^G$
2. This is done already. The divisor is $D_p = p - 0_{\mathcal{E}}$.
3. Set $d_p = \partial(D_p)$. explicitly, we have:
$$\begin{aligned} d_p(\sigma) &= \sigma^{\gamma}(p - 0_{\mathcal{E}}) - (p - 0_{\mathcal{E}}) \\ &= \gamma(\sigma) \oplus \sigma(p) - (\gamma(\sigma) + p) + 0_{\mathcal{E}}. \end{aligned}$$
Since $p \in \mathcal{E}(k)$, $\sigma(p) = p$, and so we have:
$$d_p(\sigma) = \gamma(\sigma) \oplus p + 0_{\mathcal{E}} - \gamma(\sigma) - p.$$
4. By lemma 3.1.2, if we set
$$f_{p,\sigma} = \frac{l_{\gamma(\sigma)\oplus p, \ominus\gamma(\sigma)\ominus p}}{l_{\gamma(\sigma),p}},$$
we have
$$(f_{p,\sigma}) = \gamma(\sigma) \oplus p + 0_{\mathcal{E}} - \gamma(\sigma) - p = d_p(\sigma), \tag{16}$$
then $f_p(\sigma) = f_{p,\sigma}$ then gives a 1-cochain with values in $E(C)$.
5. Let $\widetilde{c}_p = \partial f_p$. By standard arguments, we in fact know that this function has values in E. Explicitly we have:
$$c_p(\sigma, \tau) = \frac{f_{p,\sigma}\sigma^{\gamma} f_{p,\tau}}{f_{p,\sigma\tau}} = \frac{(f_{p,\sigma})(\ominus_{\gamma(\sigma)} f_{p,\tau}^{\sigma})}{f_{p,\sigma\tau}}$$

Remark 3.1.3. *The only relevant issue about the functions $f_{p,\sigma}$ is that their associated principal divisor is described as in equation 16. In particular, we may change the functions $f_{p,\sigma}$ by any constants and get an equivalent 2-cocycle describing c_p.* ♦

Theorem 3.1.4. *Suppose $p \in \mathcal{E}(k)$. Then with the above notation,*

$$\mathfrak{a}_p = (E/k, G, c_p),$$
$$\text{where } c_p \text{ is given as: } c_p(\sigma,\tau) = \frac{(f_{p,\sigma})(\ominus_{\gamma(\sigma)} f_{p,\tau})}{f_{p,\sigma\tau}}$$

We gather these facts in the following application:

Corollary 3.1.5. *Suppose C is a genus 1 curve with Jacobian $\mathcal{E}$, and suppose* $\mathrm{ind}(C) = \mathrm{per}(C)$. *Let E/k be a Galois extension with group G, and suppose that $C(E) \neq \emptyset$. Then the relative Brauer group* $\mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ *is given by*

$$\{[(E/k, G, c_p)] | p \in \mathcal{E}(k)\}, \text{ where} \tag{17}$$

$$c_p(\sigma,\tau) = \frac{(f_{p,\sigma})(\ominus_{\gamma(\sigma)} f_{p,\tau})}{f_{p,\sigma\tau}}, \tag{18}$$

and where the function $f_{p,\sigma} \in E(\mathcal{E})$ is defined by the expression:

$$f_{p,\sigma} = \frac{l_{\gamma(\sigma)\oplus p, \ominus\gamma(\sigma)\ominus p}}{l_{\gamma(\sigma),p}},$$

and the functions l_{p_1,p_2} are given in definition 3.1.1.

Remark 3.1.6. *In the case that the cocycle $\gamma \in H^1(E, \mathcal{E})$ has values in $\mathcal{E}(k)$, we may simplify our expression for the cocycle c_p above by noting that the functions $f_{p,\sigma}$ are in $k(\mathcal{E})$ (using their explicit description above), and hence are Galois invariant. We may therefore write in this case:*

$$c_p(\sigma,\tau) = \frac{f_{p,\sigma}(\ominus_{\gamma(\sigma)} f_{p,\tau})}{f_{p,\sigma\tau}}$$

♦

Remark 3.1.7. *In the case that $G = \langle \sigma, \sigma^m \rangle$ is a cyclic group, and the values of the cocycle are in $\mathcal{E}(k)$, we may simplify our formula for c_p significantly. Using the fact that c_p is a cocycle with values in k^*, One may check explicitly that in this case c_p is cohomologous to c'_p where for $0 \leq i, j < n$ we have:*

$$c'_p(\sigma^i, \sigma^j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i + j < m \\ c_p(1,1)c_p(2,1)\cdots c_p(n-1,1) & i + j < n \end{cases}$$

In particular, the central simple algebra represented by c_p is the cyclic algebra

$$(E, \sigma, c_p(1,1)c_p(2,1)\cdots c_p(n-1,1)).$$

♦

3.2. **Examples.**

3.2.1. *A hyperelliptic curve.* We demonstrate this formula for the relative Brauer group by reproducing an example of I. Han [Han03]. Let C be the hyperelliptic genus 1 curve given by the affine equation $y^2 = ax^4 + b$, and suppose $C(k) = \emptyset$. The Jacobian $\mathcal{E}$ of this curve is given by the Wierstrauss equation $y^2 = x^3 - 4abx$. Let $E = k(\beta)$, where $\beta^2 = b$. We have $(0, \pm\beta) \in C(E)$, and so the index of C is 2 and hence is also equal to the period of C. Let $G =< \sigma | \sigma^2 >$ be the Galois group of E/k.

Note that the (non-identity) 2-torsion points of $\mathcal{E}$ are exactly those points with y coordinate 0. These are:

$$t_0 = (0,0), \quad t_+ = (0, 2\lambda), \quad t_- = (0, -2\lambda),$$

where $\lambda^2 = 4ab$. In particular, we have three possibilities: $\lambda \in k$, $\lambda \in E \setminus k$, or $\lambda \notin E$. We will assume the second possibility holds : i.e. $ab \in (E^*)^2 \setminus (k^*)^2$.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Define a 1-cocycle $\gamma \in H^1(G, \mathcal{E}(E))$ via $\gamma(id) = 1, \gamma(\sigma) = t_0$. Then γ corresponds to C viewed as a homogeneous space over $\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. This is exactly [Sil99], example 3.7, pages 293-295. □

We will consider the case where $rk(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. In this case since the image of $\mathfrak{a}$ is entirely 2-torsion, $im(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{E}(k)_2)$, where $\mathcal{E}(k)_2$ is the 2-power torsion part of $\mathcal{E}(k)$. On the other hand, since the only k-rational nonidentity 2-torsion point is t_0, if there are other points in $(k)_2$, there must be at least a 4-torsion point. An explicit computation quickly shows however, that this would contradict the fact that ab is not a square (the line from t_0 to the 4-torsion point would have to be tangent at the 4-torsion point, and therefore its slope would have to be a fourth root of $16ab$). Consequently, we have

$$\mathrm{Br}(C/k) = \langle \mathfrak{a}_{t_0} \rangle .$$

By corollary 3.1.5, we have

$$A = \mathfrak{a}_{t_0} = (E/k, G, c_{t_0}),$$

with $c = c_{t_0}$ described as above. For this example we compute explicitly:

$$f_{t_0,id} = \frac{l_{0_\mathcal{E} \oplus t_0, \ominus\gamma(id)\ominus t_0}}{l_{\gamma(\sigma),t_0}} = \frac{l_{t_0,t_0}}{l_{0_\mathcal{E},t_0}} = \frac{x}{x} = 1,$$

and

$$f_{t_0,\sigma} = \frac{l_{\gamma(\sigma)\oplus t_0, \ominus\gamma(\sigma)\ominus t_0}}{l_{\gamma(\sigma),t_0}} = \frac{l_{0_\mathcal{E},0_\mathcal{E}}}{l_{t_0,t_0}} = \frac{1}{x}.$$

Using $q = t_+$ in the formula 17 from corollary 3.1.5, and using the fact that $\sigma(t_+) = t_-$, we have:

$$c(id, id) = c(id, \sigma) = c(\sigma, id) = 1,$$

$$c(\sigma, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{4ab}$$

Therefore, the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ is generated by the algebra

$$A = (E/k, \sigma, -\frac{1}{4ab}) = (E/k, \sigma, -ab) = (b, -ab)_{-1} = (b, a)_{-1} + (b, -b)_{-1} = (a, b)_{-1}$$

and so $\mathrm{Br}(C/k) = \{1, (a, b)_{-1}\}$.

3.2.2. *A curve of index* 5. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ given by the equation:

$$y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20.$$

One may check (for example, using Pari [par]) that the torsion subgroup of this curve is $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$, generated by $g = (5, 5)$, and that its rank is 0. Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be any cyclic degree 5 extension, say $G = Gal(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle\sigma|\sigma^5\rangle$. Let $\gamma \in H^1(G, \mathcal{E}(E))$ be given by $\gamma(\sigma) = g$. The element γ corresponds to a homogeneous space C for $\mathcal{E}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if γ is trivial. We will show:

Example 3.2.2. *The relative Brauer group* $\mathrm{Br}(C/\mathbb{Q})$ *of the curve* C *is cyclic generated by the cyclic algebra* $(E/\mathbb{Q}, \sigma, 11)$. *In particular, if* 11 *is not a norm from* E, *then* C *is not split.*

Proof. This follows from direct computation with the above formulas. In particular, since for our curve, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}) = \langle g\rangle$, one need only check the 2-cocycle in the image of the point g.

One may check that for our curve, we may use the functions f_{g,σ^i} given by:

$$f_{g,id} = 1 \qquad f_{g,\sigma} = \tfrac{x-16}{5x-y-20} \qquad f_{g,\sigma^2} = \tfrac{x-16}{6x+y-35} \qquad f_{g,\sigma^3} = \tfrac{x-5}{-5x+y+20} \qquad f_{g,\sigma^4} = \tfrac{1}{x-5}$$

Using remark 3.1.6, we may express our cocycle c_g as

$$c_g(\sigma^i, \sigma^j) = \frac{f_{g,\sigma^i}(\ominus_{g^i} f_{q,\sigma^j})}{f_{q,\sigma^{i+j}}}.$$

With the aid of computational software ([par], [M2]), we may determine the functions $\ominus_{g^i} f_{q,\sigma^j}$. In particular, we have:

$$\ominus_g f_{g,\sigma} = \tfrac{5x-y-20}{6x+y-35} \qquad \ominus_{g^2} f_{g,\sigma} = \tfrac{5x+y-19}{6x-y-36} \qquad \ominus_{g^3} f_{g,\sigma} = \tfrac{x-5}{-5x-y+19} \qquad \ominus_{g^4} f_{g,\sigma} = \tfrac{5-x}{11}$$

and by using 3.1.7, we may find a cohomologous cocycle

$$c'_g(\sigma^i, \sigma^j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i + j < 5 \\ 1/11 & \text{if } i + j \geq 5 \end{cases}$$

□

3.2.3. *An example with noncyclic relative Brauer group.* Using the computer package [Kra07], we may construct other interesting examples by using curves of rank 0 with interesting torsion subgroups. The following is the result of output from this program:

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the affine equation

$$y^2 + xy + y = x^3 + x^2 - 10x - 10$$

Let $L/\mathbb{Q}$ be a cyclic Galois extension with generator σ of order 4. Given a torsion point $t \in \mathcal{E}(k)$ of order n dividing 4, we may use it to define a homomorphism $Gal(L/k) \to \mathcal{E}(k)$ by sending the generator $\sigma \in Gal(L/\mathbb{Q})$ to the torsion point t. Via the map $Hom(Gal(L/\mathbb{Q}), E(k)) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{E})$, this defines a principal homogeneous space C_t.

For the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$, pari/gp tells us that $\mathcal{E}$ is rank 0 with torsion subgroup generated by the points $(8, 18)$ of order 4 and $(-1, 0)$ of order 2.

For the homogeneous space defined by $t = \ominus(8, 18)$, the relative Brauer group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/4 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, generated by the cyclic algebras:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{C_t}(8, 18) = (L/k, \sigma, 405) = (L/k, \sigma, 5),$$
$$\mathfrak{a}_{C_t}(-1, 0) = (L/k, \sigma, -81) = (L/k, \sigma, -1).$$

For the homogeneous space defined by $t = \ominus(-1, 0)$, the relative Brauer group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ generated by the cyclic algebras:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{C_t}(8, 18) = (L/k, \sigma, 9),$$
$$\mathfrak{a}_{C_t}(-1, 0) = (L/k, \sigma, -9).$$

References

[Baš72] M. I. Bašmakov. Cohomology of Abelian varieties over a number field. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 27(6(168)):25–66, 1972.

[Cas62] J. W. S. Cassels. Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. IV. Proof of the Hauptvermutung. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 211:95–112, 1962.

[Cla] Pete L. Clark. The period-index problem in WC-groups. II. *Preprint.* http://math.uga.edu/ pete/wc2.pde.

[Cla06] Pete L. Clark. There are genus one curves of every index over every number field. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 594:201–206, 2006.

[dJ] Aise Johan de Jong. A Result of Gabber. http://www.math.columbia.edu/ dejong/papers/2-gabber.pdf.

[Gro68a] Alexander Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. I. Algèbres d'Azumaya et interprétations diverses. In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, pages 46–66. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.

[Gro68b] Alexander Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. II. Théorie cohomologique. In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, pages 67–87. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.

[Gro68c] Alexander Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. III. Exemples et compléments. In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, pages 88–188. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.

[Hai84] Darrell E. Haile. On the Clifford algebra of a binary cubic form. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(6):1269–1280, 1984.

[Hai89] Darrell Haile. On Clifford algebras, conjugate splittings, and function fields of curves. In *Ring theory 1989 (Ramat Gan and Jerusalem, 1988/1989)*, volume 1 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 356–361. Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1989.

[Hai92] Darrell E. Haile. When is the Clifford algebra of a binary cubic form split? *J. Algebra*, 146(2):514–520, 1992.

[Han03] Ilseop Han. Relative Brauer groups of function fields of curves of genus one. *Comm. Algebra*, 31(9):4301–4328, 2003.

[HT88] Darrell Haile and Steven Tesser. On Azumaya algebras arising from Clifford algebras. *J. Algebra*, 116(2):372–384, 1988.

[HT89] Timothy J. Hodges and Steven B. Tesser. Representing Clifford algebras as crossed-products. *J. Algebra*, 123(2):500–505, 1989.

[Kra07] Daniel Krashen. Relative Brauer, 2007. Computer package for Macaulay2 using pari, http://www.math.yale.edu/users/dkrashen/relbr/.

[Lic68] Stephen Lichtenbaum. The period-index problem for elliptic curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 90:1209–1223, 1968.

[Lic69] Stephen Lichtenbaum. Duality theorems for curves over p-adic fields. *Invent. Math.*, 7:120–136, 1969.

[LN59] S. Lang and A. Néron. Rational points of abelian varieties over function fields. *Amer. J. Math.*, 81:95–118, 1959.

[M2] Macaulay 2. Computer program, http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

[MPW96] A. S. Merkurjev, I. A. Panin, and A. R. Wadsworth. Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties. I. *K-Theory*, 10(6):517–596, 1996.

[Nis55] Hajime Nishimura. Some remarks on rational points. *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto. Ser. A. Math.*, 29:189–192, 1955.

[Ols70] Loren D. Olson. Galois cohomology of cycles and applications to elliptic curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 92:75–85, 1970.

[O'N02] Catherine O'Neil. The period-index obstruction for elliptic curves. *J. Number Theory*, 95(2):329–339, 2002.

[par] Pari-gp. Computer program, http://www.parigp-home.de/.

[Roq66] Peter Roquette. Splitting of algebras by function fields of one variable. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 27:625–642, 1966.

[Sal99] David J. Saltman. *Lectures on division algebras*. Published by American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[Ser02] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Galois cohomology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, english edition, 2002. Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author.

[Sil99] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 199?